



PTO/SB/17 (10-07)
Approved for use through 06/30/2010. OMB 0651-0032
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no person is required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

Effective on 12/08/2004. Fees pursuant to the Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2005 (H.R. 4818). FEE TRANSMITTAL For FY 2008 <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant claims small entity status. See 37 CFR 1.27		Complete if Known			
		Application Number	10/721,165-Conf. #5534		
		Filing Date	November 26, 2003		
		First Named Inventor	Ulrich C. Boettiger		
		Examiner Name	J. Dinh		
TOTAL AMOUNT OF PAYMENT		(\$)	1,743.00	Art Unit	2873
				Attorney Docket No.	M4065.0948/P948

METHOD OF PAYMENT (check all that apply)				
<input type="checkbox"/> Check	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Credit Card	<input type="checkbox"/> Money Order	<input type="checkbox"/> None	<input type="checkbox"/> Other (please identify): _____
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Deposit Account	Deposit Account Number: 04-1073		Deposit Account Name: Dickstein Shapiro LLP	
For the above-identified deposit account, the Director is hereby authorized to: (check all that apply)				
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Charge fee(s) indicated below		<input type="checkbox"/> Charge fee(s) indicated below, except for the filing fee		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Charge any additional fee(s) or underpayments of fee(s) under 37 CFR 1.16 and 1.17		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Credit any overpayments		

FEE CALCULATION							
1. BASIC FILING, SEARCH, AND EXAMINATION FEES							
	FILING FEES		SEARCH FEES		EXAMINATION FEES		
		<u>Small Entity</u>		<u>Small Entity</u>		<u>Small Entity</u>	
Application Type	Fee (\$)	Fee (\$)	Fee (\$)	Fee (\$)	Fee (\$)	Fee (\$)	Fees Paid (\$)
Utility	310	155	510	255	210	105	
Design	210	105	100	50	130	65	
Plant	210	105	310	155	160	80	
Reissue	310	155	510	255	620	310	
Provisional	210	105	0	0	0	0	
2. EXCESS CLAIM FEES							
						<u>Small Entity</u>	
						Fee (\$)	Fee (\$)
Fee Description							
Each claim over 20 (including Reissues)						50	25
Each independent claim over 3 (including Reissues)						200	100
Multiple dependent claims						360	180
<u>Total Claims</u>		<u>Extra Claims</u>	<u>Fee (\$)</u>	<u>Fee Paid (\$)</u>	<u>Multiple Dependent Claims</u>		
30 - 41 =		x	=		<u>Fee (\$)</u>	<u>Fee Paid (\$)</u>	
HP = highest number of total claims paid for, if greater than 20.							
<u>Indep. Claims</u>		<u>Extra Claims</u>	<u>Fee (\$)</u>	<u>Fee Paid (\$)</u>			
5 - 7 =		x	=				
HP = highest number of independent claims paid for, if greater than 3.							
3. APPLICATION SIZE FEE							
If the specification and drawings exceed 100 sheets of paper (excluding electronically filed sequence or computer listings under 37 CFR 1.52(e)), the application size fee due is \$260 (\$130 for small entity) for each additional 50 sheets or fraction thereof. See 35 U.S.C. 41(a)(1)(G) and 37 CFR 1.16(s).							
<u>Total Sheets</u>	<u>Extra Sheets</u>	<u>Number of each additional 50 or fraction thereof</u>	<u>Fee (\$)</u>	<u>Fee Paid (\$)</u>			
- 100 =		/50 =	(round up to a whole number) x	=			
4. OTHER FEE(S)							
						<u>Fees Paid (\$)</u>	
Non-English Specification, \$130 fee (no small entity discount)							
Other (e.g., late filing surcharge): 1501 Utility issue fee						1,440.00	
1504 Publication fee for early, voluntary, or normal ...						300.00	
8001 Printed copy of patent w/o color						3.00	

SUBMITTED BY					
Signature		Registration No. (Attorney/Agent)	28,371	Telephone	(202) 420-2232
Name (Print/Type)	Thomas J. D'Amico	Date	December 20, 2007		



Docket No.: M4065.0948/P948
(PATENT)

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent Application of:
Ulrich C. Boettiger et al.

Allowed: September 21, 2007

Application No.: 10/721,165

Confirmation No.: 5534

Filed: November 26, 2003

Art Unit: 2873

For: MICRO-LENSES FOR CMOS IMAGERS

Examiner: J. Dinh

**COMMENTS ON STATEMENT OF REASONS
FOR ALLOWANCE UNDER 37 C.F.R. §1.104(E)**

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

Applicants agree in part with the Examiner's statement of reasons for allowance, contained in the Notice of Allowance of September 21, 2007 in that the prior art of record fails to disclose, teach or suggest the claimed invention. However, Applicants note that the Examiner's statement fails to address all limitations of all of the allowed claims. For example, certain claim limitations were not discussed in the Examiner's Reasons for Allowance, including those in most of the allowed claims, each of which defines a unique combination of features not shown or suggested by the prior art, providing additional reasons for allowance of each claim.

Furthermore, Applicants respectfully submit that the scope of the claimed invention

Application No.: 10/721,165

Docket No.: M4065.0948/P948

should not be limited by the Examiner's statement, but rather the scope of the claimed invention should be based on the actual language of the allowed claims.

Dated: December 20, 2007

Respectfully submitted,

By 

Thomas J. D'Amico

Registration No.: 28,371

DICKSTEIN SHAPIRO LLP

1825 Eye Street, NW

Washington, DC 20006-5403

(202) 420-2200

Attorney for Applicant